THE ROLE OF OPINION LEADERSHIP CHARACTERISTICS AND BRAND COMMITMENT AS DRIVERS OF BRAND-RELATED ELECTRONIC WORD OF MOUTH (EWOM) IN SOCIAL NETWORKING SITES (SNS)

Marissa Chantamas^{1,*}, Punnaluck Satanasavapak² and Arpavadee Visetbhakdi³

Abstract

Previous studies on the antecedents of Electronic Word of Mouth (EWOM) have either focused on the opinion leadership characteristics of individuals, or on brand commitment. It is the aim of this study to combine these two separate streams of research by evaluating the impact of opinion leadership characteristics and brand commitment as possible drivers of EWOM on Social Networking Sites (SNS). It is found that brand commitment is indeed a strong driver of brand related EWOM. Brand commitment mediates the motivation to engage in EWOM by those who already exhibit opinion leadership characteristics. In addition, the findings show that those who have opinion leadership characteristics and those who do not have opinion leadership differ in the type of EWOM they engage in. This can be defined as Active EWOM (content creation) and Passive EWOM (forwarding information). Marketers can use this information to design strategies that appeal to the needs of those with opinion leadership characteristics by creating novel experiences, or to enhance commitment by rewarding users who share positive information about the brand.

Keywords: EWOM, Active EWOM, Passive EWOM, Brand Commitment, Opinion Leadership, Social Network Sites

^{1,*}Dr. Marissa Chantamas obtains a Ph.D. in Business Administration (Marketing) from Assumption University, Bangkok, Thailand. Currently she is working as a lecturer in the Department of Marketing, Martin de Tours School of Management and Economics, Assumption University, Thailand. Email: yukimari@gmail.com

²Dr. Punnaluck Satanasavapak obtains a Ph.D. in Business Administration (Marketing) from Assumption University, Bangkok, Thailand. Currently she is working as the chairperson of the Department of Marketing, Martin de Tours School of Management and Economics, Assumption University, Thailand.

³Dr. Arpavadee Visetbhakdi obtains a Ph.D. in Business Administration from University of South Australia, Adelaide, Australia. Currently she is working as a lecturer in the Department of Marketing, Martin de Tours School of Management and Economics, Assumption University, Thailand.

INTRODUCTION

The growth of online communications has made consumers more engaged among each other, and also engaged with more brands (Hoffman & Novak, 1996; De Valck, 2009). Today consumers are no longer just passive receivers of information; they are active participants making contributions proactive through continuous engagement in brand interactions resulting in positive outcomes for brands including repeat purchases, retention, and ultimately loyalty (Grönroos, 1997; Vargo & Lusch, 2008; Verhoef, Reinartz, Krafft 2010; Hollebeek, 2011).

Brands hope to reap benefits from this rapid spread of opinions and information shared by consumers on their social networks, known as Electronic Word of Mouth (EWOM) this method of communication gives brands the opportunity to connect with the friends and acquaintances of their customers (Raacke & Bonds-Raacke, 2008). Although social media is widely used by brands, the study of social media's functionality in marketing is only in the early stages (Kane, Alavi, and Borgatti, 2014). Importantly, EWOM is more persuasive than marketing messages as it comes from a personal source, which is considered more credible Schindler, (Bickart & 2001). Although past studies have explored how customers share brand related stories and experience, and how customers write reviews in their brand communities as a means of recruiting new users and retaining existing users

(McKenna & Bargh, 1999; Muniz & O'Guinn, 2001; McAlexander, Schouten, & Koenig, 2002; Dholakia, Bagozzi, & Pearo, 2004; O'Guinn & Muniz, 2005; Muniz & Schau, 2005; Algesheimer, Dholakia, & Herrmann, 2005; Muniz Schau, & 2005; Dholakia & Vianello, 2011; Brodie, Juric, & Hollebeek, 2011), there is still a gap in studying the flow of information in the user's personal network.

Another gap is the need to develop models in explaining the antecedents of EWOM (Cheung & Lee, 2012). Previous research, for instance the work of Balasubramanian and Mahajan (2001) identified five antecedents for positive EWOM i.e. 1) focus-related utility, 2) consumption-utility, approval-3) utility, 4) moderator-related utility, and 5) homeostase utility. Hennig-Thurau. Gwinner. Walsh. and Gremler (2004) identified eleven motives for EWOM based on the utility of EWOM and segmenting the results for different groups based on their relevance. The eleven motives are 1) concern for others, 2) desire to help the company, 3) social benefits received, 4) exertion of power over the company, 5) post purchase advice seeking, 6) self-enhancement, 7) economic rewards, 8) convenience in seeking redress, 9) hope to have platform serve as moderator, 10) expression of positive emotions, and 11) venting of negative feelings. Sun, Youn, Wu, and Kuntaraporn (2006) identified three antecedents including innovativeness, internet usage, and internet social connection. Cheung

and Lee (2012) identified three antecedents namely sense of belonging, reputation, and enjoyment of helping. Bickart and Schindler (2001) explained that persuasive messages such as EWOM are more credible and have a positive impact on the brand. As can be seen, different approaches by researchers result in the formulation of varied antecedents of EWOM. Thus, this study aims to simplify the antecedents and group them as either individual opinion leadership characteristics or brand commitment as part of the model antecedents identifying the of EWOM.

Social Networking Sites (SNS) serve as the venue where consumers talk among themselves, exchanging information and generating their own personal profiles, especially in the form of Electronic Word of Mouth (EWOM) some of which is related to the brand (Chu & Kim, 2011; Lenhart & Madden, 2007). Building on previous studies, this research aims to explore the factors that affect the flow of information within the personal Social Networking Sites (SNS) of consumers. As He, Li, and Harris (2012) explained, social networking sites (SNS) allow consumers to engage in social interactions through accessing their own online friendship networks. These connections are dynamic and interactive making it an interesting topic of study (Ellison, Steinfeld, & Lampe, 2007; Forest & Wood, 2012; McAndrew & Jeong, 2012). The importance of studying EWOM in the personal SNS of users is supported by Hennig-Thurau et. al.

(2004)who explained that information provided by consumers in their own personal space is more influential to other consumers. Social media platforms are important in terms of creating knowledge and opinions through conversations and reviews about products (Quach & Thaichon, 2017). Thus, first, it is the major contribution of this study to explore the drivers of EWOM in SNS, specifically the personal page of the user. Second, the results of the research may be used to further develop a model in explaining the antecedents of EWOM in the personal SNS of users.

the social media Among platforms, Facebook is the most significant platform in Thailand as Facebook has the highest number of active users in Thailand with a total of 52 million users (Hootsuite Digital Report 2019). Expanding the context into research, Anurit and Khumpong (2013) studied the case of NIVEA Thailand's Facebook Fan Page. The researchers concluded that Facebook is a very effective tool for drawing an emotional connection from the target group. This is in line with previous research which explains this phenomenon stating that users form communities including information exchange, friendship, social support, and recreation (Muniz & O'Guinn, 2001; Ridings & Gefen, 2004; Muniz & Schau, 2005; Daugherty, Lee, Gangadharbatla, Kim, & Outhavong, 2005; Schau, Muniz & Arnould, 2009). As a consequence, conducting the study among Thai Facebook users is justified.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Electronic Word of Mouth (EWOM) consists of statements made by "potential, actual, or former regarding customers" а product, company or service that is made available to a large number of people via the Internet (Hennig-Thurau, et al., 2004). In this research, EWOM is defined as personal experiences and opinions transmitted through the written word via Social Networking Sites (SNS) (Sun et al., 2006).

Word of mouth (WOM), which is the precursor of Electronic Word of Mouth (EWOM), was conceptualized in the seminal work done by Dichter (1966). There are three possible motivators of EWOM, which are 1) involvement. 2) selfproduct involvement, 3) other and involvement, as adapted by Sun et al. Product involvement is (2006).defined as a strong feeling for the product (Belk, 1978; Hennig-Thurau et al., 2004; Liang, 2012). Selfinvolvement is defined as the means in using the product to gratify the emotional needs of the individual (Hennig-Thurau et al., 2004). Otherinvolvement is the feeling of wanting to contribute and share with others (Hennig-Thurau et al., 2004). Based on the previous studies cited above, these factors were adapted to explore the opinion leadership characteristics and brand commitment for use in this study.

The first category of EWOM antecedents is the opinion leadership characteristics (Feick and Price 1987; Brown, et al., 2005; Sun et al. 2006). Goldsmith and Horowitz (2006) explained that opinion leadership characteristics such as innovativeness, drive EWOM. This is akin to the concept of self-involvement and other-involvement wherein opinion leaders usually task themselves with disseminating information to less knowledgeable experienced or members of their network (Chaney, 2001). The behaviors of these opinion leaders include providing information, sharing and chatting (Phelps et al., 2004; Sun et al. 2006). Thus, this personal factor of opinion leadership is defined in this study as one of the antecedents of EWOM.

Another type of antecedent of EWOM to be explored comes from the consumer relationship to the brand. The value of the relationship consumers have with a brand, is rooted in the view that the consumer has, of the perceived benefits derived from the exchange (Vargo & Lusch, 2004). These benefits can be anything deriving directly from the product usage or rewards received in the form of mental or physical activities that help consumers satisfy their needs.

Critical to having a relationship is of commitment. concept the Moorman, Zaltman, and Despande (1992) defined commitment as a longterm or enduring desire that drives an individual to maintain a relationship that is valued. In the context of this study, the relationship is between the consumer and the brand. Brown et al. (2005) explained that commitment as it relates to EWOM is an action that helps maintain a relationship. For the purposes of this study the definition proposed by Beatty and Kahle (1988) is used for brand commitment. As such brand commitment is defined as an attitudinal statement resulting from reasoned action as a consequence of a satisfied brand experience. Consequently, brand commitment is used in this study as a measurement for the product involvement antecedent of EWOM.

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

Bettencourt (1997) found а relationship positive between consumer commitment to a grocery store and the measure of loyalty in the form of positive WOM. This study suggests the mediating effect of customer satisfaction on positive WOM. Another study by Walker (2001) examined the relationship between affective commitment and WOM activity. The study of Brown et (2005) found al. that brand commitment has both a mediating and moderating effect on WOM. Therefore, the set up for the conceptual framework starts with testing the moderating effect of commitment.

HYPOTHESES

Opinion leadership characteristics determine the tendency for an individual to use their ability and motivation to share information (Shoham & Ruvio, 2008). Smith, Coyle and Lightfoot (2007) found that opinion leadership characteristics serve as antecedents of EWOM. Thus, it is hypothesized that: H1: Opinion leadership characteristics directly influence electronic word of mouth.

Previous research found that in addition to the opinion leadership characteristics there are also brand related constructs that can be included as antecedents of EWOM. Brown et al. (2005) found in their study that commitment may influence EWOM. Another study by Harrison-Walker (2001) found the relationship between affective commitment and EWOM activity. Carlson, Suter, and Brown (2008) and Van Doorn, et al. (2010) found that preference for the brand and a commitment to the brand tends drive EWOM. to Thus. it is hypothesized that:

H2: Brand commitment mediates the effects of opinion leadership characteristics on EWOM.

To ensure content validity the item scales were based on a review of existing literature, and were subjected to Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) using SEM to test the reliability of the scales.

The measurement items were designed to measure the following constructs: (1) personal factors, specifically the opinion leadership characteristics from Feick and Price EWOM (1987);(2)behaviors including online forwarding and online chatting, adapted from the work of Sun et al. (2006); and (3)brand commitment, adapted from Brown et al. (2005). The previous researchers claimed that all constructs showed Cronbach's alpha values

ranging from 0.84 to 0.95.

The initial questionnaire generated from the reviewed items was pre-tested on 10 respondents using а convenience sampling technique. Following this. one redundant item was removed from the scale measuring EWOM. The questionnaire was consequently developed before being translated and then further revised by two lecturers experienced in translating questionnaires for research purposes. After completion of this process the questionnaire was again pre-tested on 40 respondents using convenience sampling as suggested by Hair (2010). Analysis of pre-test data determined that no further modifications were required, and the questionnaire was approved for use in the collection of the actual research data.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Dellarocas and Narayan (2006) stated that early research works on Word of Mouth (WOM) and Electronic Word of Mouth (EWOM) were usually done using the survey method. Previous studies using the survey method include Bowman and Narayandas (2001);Brown and Reingen (1987); Reingen and Kernan (1986); and Richins (1983). Thus, the research methodology for this study employs an on-line survey to suit the subject of the study, which is EWOM.

In order to capture the level of brand commitment, the selection of the product for the study was based on the work of Richins and Root-Shaffer (1988). The authors proposed the idea that the product for the study of WOM should be owned by a large percentage of the general population. It must also be capable of eliciting high levels of situational involvement. Therefore confirm product to involvement, which is one of the antecedents of WOM (Dichter, 1966), researcher conducted brief the interviews with a group representative of the desired population of Internet users defined as those using the Internet for at least 3 years. The interviews lasted until the list did not have any new entries based on the concept of convergent interviewing (Rao and Perry 2003). Using convenience sampling, 30 respondents were asked to list five products with which they had an enduring engagement. A list of 15 products was generated from the results. In the next step another set of 10 different respondents selected one product with which they felt enduring engagement. The resulting product selected was the mobile phone, which is reasonable since the mobile phone is a relatively high-priced item which users are involved with almost continuously.

Sampling Design

The snowball sampling technique was selected as it allows access to respondents who have the experience or knowledge to answer the questions (Riege & Nair, 2004). Additionally, it was expected that the use of snowball sampling would mitigate the weakness of a low response in online surveys. Invitations with a link to the survey site were shared to the 30 initial respondents used in the pre-test. The link on survey.au.edu was open for 2 weeks. Some respondents responded within the first week. Another invitation was sent to a different group to be distributed. The responses of the two groups were compared.

A total of 177 responses were gathered, and any incomplete questionnaires were deleted from the analysis, resulting in a total of 155 usable questionnaires being retained for analysis. This sample size is considered adequate. In using SEM, Hair (2010) stated that the traditional view requiring a sample size of 300 is no longer valid. Hair stated that the minimum sample size is 100 for models containing five or fewer constructs. Consequently, a sample size of 155 is considered appropriate for this study as it explores only 3 constructs.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The study had 49.7% male respondents and 50.3% female respondents. The majority (61.3%) of the respondents were aged between 18 and 21 years, while 24.5% were aged between 22 to 28 years, and about 14% of the respondents were older

than 28 years. This shows a skew towards a younger group than the average internet user in Thailand, which might result from the snowball sampling since most of the pre-test respondents were students and first jobbers. Most company were employees (49.7%) followed by students (25.2%). About two-thirds (63.2%) were Bachelor degree holders, while 29.7% were Master degree holders.

The total EWOM scale has a Cronbach's Alpha of .852. However, upon further examination the factor analysis showed that there appears to be a split into two factors. In fact, the factor loadings that show an improvement in the Cronbach's Alpha's scores prove that this On-line General EWOM scale can be split into Active and Passive EWOM with the two scales resulting in Cronbach's Alpha .876 and .831 respectively. The items in the Active EWOM scale positive include creating recommendations, introducing new products, and convincing others to buy. The items in the Passive EWOM scale include sharing positive recommendations of products, sharing news about the product, and sharing favorite product information.

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.876	.876	4
	Mean	Factor Loadings
Item 1	2.34	.818
Item 2	2.28	.814
Item 3	2.58	.824
Item 4	2.54	.761

Table 2: Factor Loadings for the Active WOM Scale

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.831	.831	3
	Mean	Factor Loadings
Item 1	2.34	.797
Item 2	2.28	.855
Item 3	2.58	.707

This concept is similar to the work of Rohit Bhargava Senior Vice President at Ogilvy who is quoted in proposed Rosen (2009). He а categorization for new media influencers that revolves around content creation, consumption, and sharing, as content creators and content sharers who pass it on. This is defined by the research of Norman and Russell (2006), and Sun et al. (2006) as opinion-passing behavior. This finding is an additional contribution of this study. However,

for the purposes of further analysis the overall EWOM scale was used.

Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) was used for the analysis of the relationships between the latent constructs investigated, as it is a more rigorous test of construct validity, and can be conveniently tested in a single research (Mentzer & Garver, 1999). The review of literature and initial testing of the factors ensures that the constructs truly reflect the latent constructs using the Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) (Edwards &

Bagozzi, 2000).

The chi-square (N = 153, df = 88) = 291.246, p < 0.001 shows that the model does not fit the data well. This may be due to the need for further refinement of the measurement model (Anderson & Gerbing, 1988), and was explored by assessing the standardized regression weights. It is unlikely that this is due to an over paramerized model as there are only 3 constructs being tested. For SEM analysis, Hair (2010) indicated that the ideal sample size is 300. However, Hair (2010) also stated that the minimum sample size is 100 for models containing five less or constructs as is the case in this study. The CMIN/DF (3.3) falls in the range from 2 to 5, which indicates a reasonable fit (Marsh & Hocevar, 1985).

However, in order to better assess the fit of the model other indices such as the NFI, RFI, IFI, TLI, and CFI were analyzed (Hoyle & Panter, 1995; Ho, 2006). Results of the NFI, RFI, IFI, TLI, and CFI were close to 0.9 (range from 0.811 to 0.884), which shows a good model fit (Ho, 2006). Consequently, this means, given the range of the computed baseline comparison fit indices, the remaining possible improvement in the fit for the hypothesized model (range: .117 to .189) appears to be small as to be of little practical significance. The unstandardized regression weights are all significant by the critical ratio test (>1.96, p<0.05).

Table 3: Confirmatory Factor Analysis Model Fit Summary

CMIN Model	NPAR	CMIN	DF	Р	CMIN/DF
Default model	32	291.246	88	.000	3.310
Saturated model Independent model	$\begin{array}{c} 120 \\ 15 \end{array}$.000 1836.588	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 105 \end{array}$.000	17.491

Table 4: Incremental Fit	Indices
--------------------------	---------

Model	NFI Delta1	RFI rho1	IFI Delta2	TLI rho2	CFI
Default model Saturated model	.841 1.000	.811	$.884 \\ 1.000$.860	$.883 \\ 1.000$
Independence model	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000

The standardized regression weights range from 0.451 to .932. These values indicate that the variables measurement are significantly represented by their respective latent constructs. The explained variances for the measurement variables are represented by the squared multiple correlations table. The percentage of the variance explained ranges from .204 or 20.4% to .868 or 86.8%. The residual variances were calculated by subtracting each explained variance from 1. Thus, the residual variances ranged from 0.749 to 0.123 or 74.9% to 12.3%. The construct causing this low score is brand commitment, the reason for which will be discussed in limitations section. Table 5 the presents the chi-square goodness-offit statistics with their baseline comparison of fit indices for the hypothesis testing. The direct model (H1) chi-square (N = 153, df = 51) = 178.683, p < 0.001 and indirect model (H2) chi-square (N = -153, df = 52) =

193.488, p < 0.001 are all significant. The baseline comparison of fit indices of NFI, RFI, IFI, TLI, and CFI for both models are all close to 0.9 (range: .825 to .906). These values show that the two models fit the observed variance-covariance matrix relative to the null or independent model (Ho, 2006). The improvement in fit can range only from 0.165 to 0.094. This shows that all of the hypotheses are supported.

The mediating effect of brand commitment was further analyzed by consideration the taking into regression weights, the standardized regression weights, and the squared multiple correlations. All of the constructs were significant according to the critical ratio test (p < 0.05). The interpretation can be made as follows. The opinion leadership characteristics when mediated by brand commitment resulted in greater EWOM behavior (.471). This is higher than the direct path model from opinion leadership to EWOM (.307).

Model	CMIN	DF	NFI	RFI	IFI	TLI	CFI
H1: Opinion leadership characteristics to EWOM	178.68	51	.873	.835	.906	.877	.905
H2: Opinion leadership characteristics to EWOM mediated by Brand commitment	193.48	52	.862	.825	.895	.866	.894

Table 5: Model Fit and Baseline Comparison

THEORETICAL CONTRIBUTION

confirms The research the behaviors of information seeking, creation, and passing along, which is in line with the study conducted by Chu and Kim (2011). The findings reveal that opinion leadership when mediated by brand commitment results in greater EWOM. The findings are in line with previous findings that brand commitment will drive consumers to make an effort to take actions that are conducive to relationship marketing success (Bowman & Narayandas, 2001; Brown et al., 2005; Sun et al., 2006).



Figure 1: Opinion Leadership and Brand Commitment Antecedents for EWOM in Personal SNS

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study are similar to the research of Henderson and Lyons (2005) who stated that those who exhibit opinion leadership characteristics usually have more enduring involvement and tend to be innovative. Thus, novelty of the experience may be one way to increase EWOM. This is in line with Schultz and Schultz (2004) who stated that the customer creates their own dreams or fantasy where the product or brand makes some sort of aspiration possible, and results in the creation of a distinctive brand experience consumers can share with their associates. This willingness to share with less knowledgeable or experienced associates is identified as one of the hallmarks of opinion leadership characteristics.

Managerial Implication:

study was The conducted focusing on the mobile phone. Richins and Root-Shaffer (1988) suggested that the product for the study should be owned by a large number of people and capable of eliciting high levels of commitment. Thus, when examining brand commitment, which is defined as the willingness to maintain a relationship with the brand, it is important to focus on the value users derive from this relationship. Beyond just providing a good product or service, it suggested is that recognizing users who are opinion leaders and rewarding them for would strengthen sharing their commitment to the brand. This is in line with the work of Schau, Muniz, and Arnould (2009). It is a similar Customer Relationship idea to Management (CRM) but instead of concentrating on the monetary worth of an individual customer, their value as an opinion leader capable of disseminating good news about the brand is considered. These incentives can be in the form of economic rewards or opportunities for social interaction (Balasubramanian & Mahajan, 2001).

LIMITATIONS OF RESEARCH

The limitations of this research can be classified into two major aspects. The first has to do with the data collection, while the second involves the definition of the items used in measuring the construct. Firstly, it was found that the age of respondents was skewed towards a younger audience, which may be the result of using the snowballing technique. Due to a greater focus on the criteria of having used the Internet for three consecutive years, and attempts to increase the response rate, there was a failure in obtaining a varied group of respondents in terms of demographics.

The second limitation is the weakness in using only one brand related construct, which is brand commitment. Although the scale items belonged well in previous research and did pass the criteria of the Exploratory Factor Analysis (Cronbach alpha .921), it had the lowest prediction power (20.4%) when subjected to the Confirmatory Factor Analysis test in SEM. The reason for such a low explanatory power may be due to the fact that other brand constructs may be needed to drive EWOM. As Crosby and Taylor (1983) explained, brand commitment can be considered the ego involvement that drives the selection of the brand due to consistency with personal values or self-image. Consequently, a broader definition mav include brand characteristics such as brand image or reputation, because, as stated in the

previous section, the novelty of the experience may be an aspect that needs to be considered as a driver of EWOM.

DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

The study was done on the product purchase situation that fits the description of Richins and Root-Shaffer (1988), namely owned by a large percentage of the general population and capable of eliciting high levels of situational involvement. As a result, testing on products eliciting low levels of situational involvement may have different results. It is hypothesized that the situational involvement for products with low-involvement should come from consumption experience or events, which can be explored further.

This research has confirmed that involvement and selfproduct involvement motivators are of EWOM as posited by Sun et al. (2006). However, as explained in the limitations of the research, the brand commitment definition should be further explored in order to generate a comprehensive framework for explaining EWOM in users' personal Social Networking Sites (SNS).

In addition, the findings of this study show that there are two types of EWOM. Further exploration of the possible different antecedents of these active (content creation) or passive (forwarding) behaviors would be useful in understanding this phenomenon. For instance, the Uses and Gratifications Theory could be used to explain motivation as a possible antecedent of different forwarding behaviors in future research.

REFERENCES

- Algesheimer, R., Dholakia, U. M., & Herrmann, A. (2005). The social influence of brand community: Evidence from European car clubs. *Journal of Marketing*, *69*(3), 19-34.
- Anderson, J. C., & Gerbing, D. W. (1988). Structural equation modeling in practice: A review and recommended two-step approach. *Psychological Bulletin*, 103(3), 411.
- Anurit, P. J., & Khumpong, K. (2013).
 Factors Affect the Evaluation of Effectiveness of Facebook as a Promotional Tool a Case Study of Nivea Thailand Facebook Fan Page. *Innovative Journal of Business and Management*, 1(6).
- Beatty, S. E., & Kahle, L. R. (1988). Alternative hierarchies of the attitude-behavior relationship: The impact of brand commitment and habit. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, *16*(2), 1-10.
- Belk, R. W. (1978). Assessing the effects of visible consumption on impression formation. *Advances in Consumer Research*, *5*(1), 39-47.
- Belk, R. W. (1988). Possessions and the Extended Self. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 15 (September), 139-168.

Bettencourt, L. A. (1997). Customer

voluntary performance: customers as partners in service delivery. *Journal of Retailing*, 73(3), 383-406.

- Bickart, B., & Schindler, R. M. (2001). Internet forums as influential sources of consumer information. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 15(3), 31-40.
- Bloch, P. H. (1981). An exploration into the scaling of consumers' involvement with a product class. *Advances in Consumer Research*, 8(1), 61-65.
- Bowman, D., & Narayandas, D. (2001). Managing customerinitiated contacts with manufacturers: The impact on share of category requirements and word-of-mouth behavior. *Journal of Marketing Research*, *38*(3), 281-297.
- Brodie, R. J., Hollebeek, L. D., Juric, B., & Ilic, A. (2011). Customer engagement: conceptual domain, fundamental propositions, and implications for research. *Journal of Service Research*, 1094670511411703.
- Brown, J. J., & Reingen, P. H. (1987). Social ties and word-of-mouth referral behavior. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 350-362.
- Brown, T. J., Barry, T. E., Dacin, P.
 A., & Gunst, R. F. (2005).
 Spreading the word: Investigating antecedents of consumers' positive word-ofmouth intentions and behaviors in a retailing context. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 33(2), 123-138.

The Role of Opinion Leadership Characteristics and Brand Commitment as Drivers of Brand-Related Electronic Word of Mouth (EWOM) in Social Networking Sites (SNS)

- Carlson, B. D., Suter, T. A., & Brown, T. J. (2008). Social versus psychological brand community: The role of psychological sense of brand community. *Journal of Business Research*, 61(4), 284-291.
- Chaney, I. M. (2001). Opinion leaders as a segment for marketing communications. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 19(5), 302-308.
- Cheung, C. M., & Lee, M. K. (2012). What drives consumers to spread electronic word of mouth in online consumer-opinion platforms. *Decision Support Systems*, 53(1), 218-225.
- Chu, S. C., & Kim, Y. (2011). Determinants of consumer engagement in electronic wordof-mouth (eWOM) in social networking sites. *International Journal of Advertising*, 30(1), 47-75.
- Crosby, L. A., & Taylor, J. R. (1983). Psychological commitment and its effects on post-decision evaluation and preference stability among voters. *Journal* of Consumer Research, 413-431.
- Daugherty, T., Lee, W. N., Gangadharbatla, H., Kim, K., & Outhavong, S. (2005). Organizational virtual communities: Exploring motivations behind online panel participation. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 10(4).
- Dellarocas, C., & Narayan, R. (2006). A statistical measure of a population's propensity to engage in post-purchase online

word-of-mouth. *Statistical Science*, *21*(2), 277-285.

- De Valck, K., Van Bruggen, G. H., & Wierenga, B. (2009). Virtual communities: A marketing perspective. *Decision Support Systems*, 47(3), 185-203.
- Dholakia, U. M., Bagozzi, R. P., & Pearo, L. K. (2004). A social influence model of consumer participation in network-and small-group-based virtual communities. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, *21*(3), 241-263.
- Dichter, E. (1966). {How word-ofmouth advertising works}. *Harvard Business Review*, 44(6), 147-160.
- Dwyer, F. R., Schurr, P. H., & Oh, S. (1987). Developing buyer-seller relationships. *The Journal of Marketing*, 11-27.
- Edwards, J. R., & Bagozzi, R. P. (2000). On the nature and direction of relationships between constructs and measures. *Psychological Methods*, 5(2), 155.
- Ellison, N. B., Steinfield, C., & Lampe, C. (2007). The benefits of Facebook "friends:" Social capital and college students' use of online social network sites. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 12(4), 1143-1168.
- Feick, L. F., & Price, L. L. (1987). The market maven: A diffuser of marketplace information. *The Journal of Marketing*, 83-97.
- Forest, A. L., & Wood, J. V. (2012). When social networking is not

working individuals with low self-esteem recognize but do not reap the benefits of selfdisclosure on facebook. *Psychological Science*, 0956797611429709.

- Garbarino, E., & Johnson, M. S. (1999). The different roles of satisfaction, trust, and commitment in customer relationships. *The Journal of Marketing*, 70-87.
- Garver, M. S., & Mentzer, J. T. (1999). Logistics research methods: employing structural equation modeling to test for construct validity. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 20(1), 33.
- Godes, D., & Mayzlin, D. (2004). Firm-created word-of-mouth communication: A field-based quasi-experiment. *HBS Marketing Research Paper*, (04-03).
- Goldsmith, R. E., & Horowitz, D. (2006). Measuring motivations for online opinion seeking. *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, 6(2), 2-14.
- Grönroos, C. (1997). Value-driven relational marketing: from products to resources and competencies. Journal of Marketing Management, 13(5), 407-419.
- Hair, J. F. (2010). Multivariate data analysis.
- Hair, J. F., & Black, W. C. (2000). Reading and understanding more multivariate statistics. *American Psychological Association*, *Washington*, DC.
- Harrison-Walker, L. J. (2001). The

measurement of word-of-mouth communication and an investigation of service quality and customer commitment as potential antecedents. *Journal of Service Research*, 4(1), 60-75.

- He, H., Li, Y., & Harris, L. (2012). Social identity perspective on brand loyalty. *Journal of Business Research*, 65(5), 648-657.
- Henderson, K., & Lyons, B. The Influence of eWOM. Journal of Marketing Perspectives Volume I, 28.
- Hennig-Thurau, T., Gwinner, K. P., Walsh, G., & Gremler, D. D. Electronic (2004).word-ofmouth via consumer-opinion platforms: what motivates articulate consumers to themselves on the internet?. Journal of Interactive Marketing, 18(1), 38-52.
- Ho, R. (2006). Handbook of univariate and multivariate data analysis and interpretation with SPSS. CRC Press.
- Hoffman, D. L., & Novak, T. P. (1996). Marketing in hypermedia computer-mediated environments: conceptual foundations. *The Journal of Marketing*, 50-68.
- Hollebeek, L. (2011). Exploring customer brand engagement: definition and themes. *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, 19(7), 555-573.
- Hootsuite (2019) Global Digital Report 2019. https://wearesocial .com/blog/2019/01/digital-2019global-internet-use-accelerates
- Hoyle, R. H., & Panter, A.

The Role of Opinion Leadership Characteristics and Brand Commitment as Drivers of Brand-Related Electronic Word of Mouth (EWOM) in Social Networking Sites (SNS)

T.(1995).,,Writing about structural equation models "Structural equation modeling: concepts, issues, and applications". Hoyle, RH Thousand Oaks, Sage Publications, 158-176.

- Kane, G. C., et al. "Integrating Social Networks and Information Systems: A review and framework for research." *MIS Quarterly* 38.1 (2014): 275-304.
- Kotler, P., & Keller, K. (2012). Lane. Marketing Management, 12.
- Kozinets, R. V. (1999). E-tribalized marketing? The strategic implications of virtual communities of consumption. *European Management Journal*, 17(3), 252-264.
- Liang, Y. P. (2012). The relationship between consumer product involvement, product knowledge and impulsive buying behavior. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 57, 325-330.
- Marchi, G., Giachetti, C., & de Gennaro, P. (2011). Extending lead-user theory to online brand communities: The case of the community Ducati. *Technovation*, *31*(8), 350-361.
- Lyons, B., & Henderson, K. (2005). Opinion leadership in a computer-mediated environment. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, 4(5), 319-329.
- Marsh, H. W., & Hocevar, D. (1985). Application of confirmatory factor analysis to the study of self-concept: First-and higher order factor models and their invariance across groups.

Psychological Bulletin, 97(3), 562.

- McAlexander, J. H., Schouten, J. W., & Koenig, H. F. (2002). Building brand community. *Journal of Marketing*, 66(1), 38-54.
- McAndrew, F. T., & Jeong, H. S. (2012). Who does what on Facebook? Age, sex, and relationship status as predictors of Facebook use. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 28(6), 2359-2365.
- Moorman, C., Zaltman, G., & Deshpande, R. (1992). Relationships between providers and users of market research: The dynamics of trust. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 29(3), 314-328.
- Muniz Jr, A. M., & O'guinn, T. C. (2001). Brand Community. Journal of Consumer Research, 27(4), 412-432.
- Muniz, A. M., & Schau, H. J. (2005). Religiosity in the abandoned Apple Newton brand community. *Journal of Consumer Research*, *31*(4), 737-747.
- Norman, A. T., & Russell, C. A. (2006). The pass-along effect: Investigating word-of-mouth effects on online survey procedures. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 11(4), 1085-1103.
- O'Guinn, T. C., & Muniz Jr, A. M. (2005). 13 Communal consumption and the brand. *Inside consumption: Consumer motives*, *goals, and desires*, 252.
- Phelps, J. E., Lewis, R., Mobilio, L., Perry, D., & Raman, N. (2004).

Viral marketing or electronic word-of-mouth advertising: Examining consumer responses and motivations to pass along email. *Journal of Advertising Research*, 44(04), 333-348.

- Quach, S., & Thaichon, P. (2017). From connoisseur luxury to mass luxury: Value co-creation and codestruction in the online environment. *Journal of Business Research*, 81, 163-172.
- Raacke, J., & Bonds-Raacke, J. (2008). MySpace and Facebook: Applying the uses and gratifications theory to exploring friend-networking sites. *Cyber psychology & behavior*, 11(2), 169-174.
- Sally, and Chad Perry. Rao, "Convergent interviewing to theory in build а underresearched areas: principles and an example investigation of internet usage in inter-firm relationships." *Oualitative* Market Research: An International Journal 6.4 (2003): 236-247.
- Reingen, P. H., & Kernan, J. B. (1986). Analysis of referral networks in marketing: Methods and illustration. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 370-378.
- Richins, M. L. (1983). Negative word-of-mouth by dissatisfied consumers: A pilot study. *The Journal of Marketing*, 68-78.
- Richins, M.L., & Root-Shaffer, T. (1988). The Role of Involvement and Opinion Leadership in Consumer Word-of-Mouth: An Implicit Model Made Explicit.

Advances in Consumer Research, 15(1988), 32-36

- Ridings, C. M., & Gefen, D. (2004).
 Virtual community attraction:
 Why people hang out online.
 Journal of Computer-Mediated
 Communication, 10(1), 00-00.
- Riege, A. M., & Nair, G. (2004). The diversity of convergent interviewing: applications for early researchers and postgraduate students. *The Marketing Review*, 4(1), 73-85.
- Rosen, E. (2009). The anatomy of buzz revisited: Real-life lessons in word-of-mouth marketing. Crown Business.
- Schau, H. J., & Muniz, A. M. (2002). Brand communities and personal identities: Negotiations in cyberspace. Advances in Consumer Research, 29(1), 344-349.
- Schau, H. J., Muñiz Jr, A. M., & Arnould, E. J. (2009). How brand community practices create value. *Journal of Marketing*, 73(5), 30-51.
- Schouten, J. W., & McAlexander, J.
 H. (1995). Subcultures of consumption: An ethnography of the new bikers. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 43-61.
- Schultz, D. E., & Block, M. P. (2012). Rethinking brand loyalty in an age of interactivity. *IUP Journal* of Brand Management, 9(3), 21.
- Shu-Chuan Chu & Yoojung, Kim (2011). Determinants of consumer engagement in electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) in social networking sites. International Journal of

The Role of Opinion Leadership Characteristics and Brand Commitment as Drivers of Brand-Related Electronic Word of Mouth (EWOM) in Social Networking Sites (SNS)

Advertising, 30(1), 47-75, DOI: 10.2501/IJA-30-1-047-075

- Shoham, A., & Ruvio, A. (2008). Opinion leaders and followers: A replication and extension. *Psychology & Marketing*, 25(3), 280-297.
- Sridhar Balasubramanian, V. M. (2001). The economic leverage of the virtual community. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, 5(3), 103-138.
- Sun, T., Youn, S., Wu, G., & Kuntaraporn, M. (2006). Online word-of-mouth (or mouse): An exploration of its antecedents and consequences. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 11(4), 1104-1127.
- Van Doorn, N., Van Zoonen, L., & Wyatt, S. (2007). Writing from Experience Presentations of Gender Identity on Weblogs. *European Journal of Women's Studies*, 14(2), 143-158.
- Vargo, S. L., & Lusch, R. F. (2004). Evolving to a new dominant logic for marketing. *Journal of Marketing*, 68(1), 1-17.
- Vassey, M.W. & Thayer, J.F. (1987). The continuing problem of false positives in repeated measures ANOVA in psychophysiology. A multivariate solution." *Psychophysiology. 24*(4), pp. 479 – 486.
- Verhoef, P. C., Reinartz, W. J., & Krafft, M. (2010). Customer engagement as a new perspective in customer management. *Journal of Service Research*, 13(3), 247-252.

Wang, Ray. (2012) How to Engage Your Customers and Employees. *Harvard Business Review*.