PERCEPTION IN TOURISM & HOSPITALITY: A META ANALYSIS

John Barnes¹

Abstract: Perception in the title of 45 studies in the hospitality and tourism literature over the past 36 years lead readers to believe that perception was to be measured. In fact, 33 studies measured attitude, belief, impact, opinion or preference. Another 12 developed perceptions from composite measures, none of which employed common components for perception. This research contributes to the tourism literature by identifying that perception was always used in the vernacular, not academic sense. Tourism and hospitality researchers are invited to be careful in their use of perception to maintain academic integrity.

Key words: attitude, belief, impact, opinion, perception, preference.

Introduction

This sample of 45 studies of the tourism and hospitality literature included the word *perception* or perceived in their title.

I have italicized the word *perception* throughout this work and I hope that will be helpful, rather than a hindrance.

This research shows that the word *perception* has three broad categories of meaning, firstly the vernacular, secondly an academic, thirdly the tourism and hospitality industry meaning.

From the vernacular The Concise Oxford English Dictionary 11th edition, edited by Stevenson and Waite, (2012), says of *perception*:

"The ability to see, hear, or become aware of something through the senses. The state of being or process of becoming aware of something in such a way; A way of regarding or understanding or interpreting something; Intuitive understanding and insight." (Stevenson and Waite, (2012)

The academic or second category of meaning perception is: the academic & scientific meaning of the word. "The neurophysiological processes including memory, by which an organism becomes aware of and interprets external stimuli." (Stevenson and Waite, (2012)

The literal difference between the vernacular and the academic meaning of *perception* according to Stevenson and Waite (2012) is that the vernacular involves the steps of sensation, understanding and then interpreting "something" through the senses.

The academic use of *perception* is that all senses are implied, not just the visual.

One could argue, that "interpreting" may also involve memory, in that "something new or different, "is compared either with "something

¹ John Barnes is Full-Time Lecturer of Assumption University, Thailand

known from the past," or compared with a "standard," of which we are aware and perhaps knowledgeable. The "standard" could be a previous exposure to something currently experiencing, or it could be a national or an international standard, set by some authority on the subject, and with which we possibly agree as representative of our "reality."

The academic meaning of *perception* involves neurophysiological processes that involves sensation, interpretation and possibly memory, but omits understanding; though interpreting may be construed as understanding by some readers. Yet other academic readers might disagree and claim that the academic meaning of the term perception does not require understanding as part of the physiological process i.e. what is given is taken carte blanche. Some others might say, that understanding involves additional processes beyond merely perceiving something. So this remains part of an open debate.

Before deciding the meaning of *perception* intended by tourism and travel researchers in these studies, it is appropriate that a third meaning of *perception*, be considered i.e. one specific to tourism and hospitality literature.

To illustrate this point, two pairs of popular and respected, tourism and hospitality academic researchers of *perception* have been selected.

The first pair define *perception* as follows:

"Perceptions [are] - the subjective interpretation by individuals of the data which

Is available to them, and which results in them having particular opinions of, and attitudes towards products, places and organizations."

Swarbrooke and Horner, (1999), p. 436

Researchers of the tourism and hospitality literature may be familiar with Swarbrooke and Horner (1999) as respected tourism and hospitality academics who have contributed significantly to tourism and hospitality literature.

Perhaps Swarbrooke and Horner's particular definition of *perception* might have influenced other tourism and hospitality researchers, to adopt their particular definition of the word *perception*, in their own research. This meta analysis has included the possibility by checking the reference lists of the 45 studies, to see whether Swarbrooke and Horner (1999) were cited or whether the researchers provided a definition of *perception* close that of Swarbrooke and Horner (1999).

In the 45 studies of *perception* shown in Table 1 Swarbrooke and Horner (1999) were not cited suggesting that a tourism and hospitality specific meaning of *perception* was not implied and use of the word *perception* was closely aligned with the vernacular definition of Stevenson and Waite (2012) cited earlier.

To add some depth to this tourism and hospitality specific use of the word *perception* a second pair of tourism and hospitality academics was chosen.

Reisinger and Turner, 2003, included a chapter on *perception*, in their *book* "Cross Cultural Behaviour in Tourism," and drew a distinction between the vernacular and tourism-specific definitions of *perception*.

According to whom "perception is a process by which an individual selects organizes and interprets stimuli into a meaningful and coherent picture of the world"

Reisinger

and Turner (2003)

Reisinger and Turner attribute their use of the word *perception* to Schiffman and Kanuk, (1987), p.174, as a source of consumer behavior in the broader context rather than specifically to hospitality and tourism studies.

In addition to their initial definition of perception, Reisinger and Turner (2003) offered additional definitions of perception attributed to several additional sources i.e. Samovar and Porter (1991), who reviewed perception from a cultural perspective involving language, religion, food and more and who defined perception as:

"The process by which stimuli are selected from the external environment and interpreted into meaningful internal experiences."

Yet another definition provided by Reisinger and Turner, (2002), was cited from Mitchell (1978):

"perceptions as the processes that shape and produce what we actually experience."

Reisinger and Turner, (2003), further commented that similar definitions

were offered by Moutinho (1987) and Markin, (1974).

It is notable that Reisinger and Turner (2003) also take *perception* beyond the individual person by explaining that *perception* can be considered at three levels:

Level 1 Perceptions of other people (tourists perceptions of hosts and hosts perceptions of tourists);

Level 2 Perceptions of one's own (tourists' perceptions of themselves and their hosts perceptions of themselves);

Level 3 *Perceptions of perceptions* called meta-perceptions indicate how others perceive they are perceived (tourist *perceptions* of how they are perceived by their hosts).

Reisinger and Turner, (2003), p.151

Whilst this distinction of three levels of *perception* is both interesting and informative, it leaves unanswered the issue of *perception* measurement as raised at the beginning of this study.

In the 45 tourism and hospitality *perception* studies reviewed here, researchers sought to understand to what degree our *perception* influences our feelings, opinion, attitude, preference and possibly behavior, as evidenced by the scales used to measure the same – however it is crucial to note that what was measured in all 45 studies was not *perception*.

As mentioned earlier what was measured in 32 of the 45 studies reviewed was either attitude, impact, opinion or preference, and defined in Table 1:

Table 1 Definitions of related terms

Attitude	A way of thinking or feeling
Belief	A feeling that something exists or is true especially one without
	proof.
Impact	An act of one object hitting another; a marked effect or influence.
Opinion	A personal view not necessarily based on fact or knowledge; The
	views of people in general; An estimate of quality or worth.
Preference	A greater liking for one alternative over another;

Source: Catherine Soanes and Sarah Hawker, 2008, *The Concise Oxford English Dictionary*, 3rd edition

Measurement scales exist for each of the above terms and were employed in the studies reviewed.

Definitions state that *perception* is a composite process collectively involving all of our senses. As Reisinger and Turner (2003) also mention meta-*perception* occurs when our *perception* is influenced of others.

Thirty-three of the *perception* studies reviewed here used the term *perception* in the vernacular sense and inferred visual *perception*.

The meaning of *perception* from the physiological perspective is comprehensive compared with its use in the tourism and hospitality perspectives and outlined in the studies cited.

Table 2 shows that researchers studied and measured attitude, preference, opinion or impact, to determine how

that might influence tourists or travelers needs and behavior rather than *perception* as promised in the title of their studies.

Exceptions to the preceding statement are best exemplified in Reisinger and Turner (2003) who clearly define perception in a cross-cultural (and by implication a tourism and hospitality) sense and they take into account, the possible influence of "others" on an individual's perception process. The following comprehensive review of 45 Tourism and Hospitality research papers and textbooks, demonstrates that the vernacular, rather than the academic definition of the word perception, has been used in the titles of 33 out of 45 studies reviewed. The other twelve studies, which claim to study perception, did so through a composite of other measures which the authors claim represent perception (see Table 3).

Table 2 Tourism and hospitality literature and items actually measured in each study

Author	Date	Title	Analytic	Actual Item
riamor	Bute		method	measured
Allen L., R.,	1988	The Impact of	Bivariate	Satisfaction
P.T., Long, R.,		Tourism	regression	
R., Perdue and		Development on	analysis	
S Kieselbach		Residents		
5 Kieselbaen		Perceptions of		
		Community Life		
Andereck,	2011	Exploring the	Importance/S	Opinion
Kathleen, L.,		Nature and Quality	atisfaction	
and Guyane p		of Life Perceptions	Analysis re	
Nyaupane	1000	among Residents	QOL	Talian
Ap, J,	1990	Residents'	Review of the Literature	Tourism
		perceptions:	Univariate	impacts opinions
		research on the	statistics	opinions
		social impacts of	statistics	
Belisle, F and	1980	tourism The Perceived	Factor	Tourism
Don, R., Hoy	1980	Impact of tourism	Analysis	Impact
Doll, K., noy		by Residents; A	Allarysis	Impact
		Case Study in		
		Santa Marta		
		Columbia		
Fredline, E.	1997	Resident	-	Attitude
		Perceptions of The		
		Gold Coast		
	. 6	Indy .An		
		Exploratory Study		
Haralambopou	1996	Perceived Impacts	Descriptive	Opinion and
los, N., and A.		of Tourism: The	statistic	Attitude
Pizam		Case of Samos		
Husbands W.,	1989	Social Status and	Descriptive	Opinion/Attitu
		Perception of	statistics	de
IZ:	1002	Tourism in Zambia	Descriptions	A 44:4 1-
King,	1993	Social Impacts of Tourism: Host	Descriptive statistics	Attitude
B.,A.,Pizam, A			statistics	
and A Milman		Perceptions		
		Attitudes and Perceptions		
Lankford,S.,V.	1994	Towards Tourism	Means, Chi	Impact
Lankioiu,S., v.	1777	and Rural Regional	Square	Attitude
		Development.	Mann	Tittlede
		r	Whitney	
Woloshin	2000	A New Scale for	unstated	Perception of
Steven,		Measuring		event
Stephanie		Perceptions of		

Weaver, D., B.,	2001	Residents	Cluster	Attitudes and
and L, J.,		Perception of	analysis and	opinions
Lawton		Tourism in The	factor	_
Lawton		Urban Rural Fringe	analysis	
Arafia, Jorge,	2013	Correcting For	Anchoring	Opinion
E., and		Scale Perception	vignettes and	Satisfaction
George., E.,.,		Bias in Tourist	simultaneous	
Leon		Satisfaction	equations	
		Surveys	HOPIT	
			model	
Brayley R.,	1990	Perceived	Descriptive	Opinions/attitu
Var, T. and		Influence of	statistics	des
Sheldon P		Tourism on Social		
		Issues.		
				AY
		D 11		Continued/
Johnson,	1004	Residents	Stepwise	Opinions
J.D.,Snepenger	1994	'Perception of	multiple	/attitudes based
, D., J. and		Tourism	regression	on
Akis, S.,		Development		employment
				and job
				opportunity
King, B.,	1993	Social Impacts of	Descriptive	Impact and
Pizam., and		Tourism: Host	statistics and	attitude
Milman., a.		Perceptions,	multiple	
T7 1 '			regression	A*. 1 1
Kukreja,		Business and	Independent t	Attitudes and
Shveta & Adarsh Batra.	1	Leisure Tourists	test	opinions based
Adarsh Batra,		Perception on		on experience of 8 items on a
		Selected Attributes		scale
		off Luxury Hotels		Scale
		in Bangkok: A		
77 1	2011	Comparative Study	D : 1	A 1 3 6
Kunasekaran,	2011	Development of	Principal	Attitude Most
Puvaneswaran,		Farmers Perception	Component	questions were
Sridar, Ramachandran		Scale on Agro Tourism in	Factor	answers to I
Mohd, Rusli,		Cameron	analysis	think question
Yacob and		Highlands,		
Ahmad Shuib		Malaysia		
Liu J., C,	1987	Resident	Principle	Impact
Sheldon P., &	2701	Perceptions of The	Component	P
		Environmental	Factor	
Var, T.,		Impact of Tourism	Analysis	continued/
Milfelner	2001	Measurement of	Descriptive	Opinion
Barut, Boris		Perceived Quality,	statistics	- r
Snoj,		perceived Value,	Invariance	
J,		Image and	measurement	
L	1			1

Aleksandra		Satisfaction	a h atres an tha	
			s between the	
Pisnik Korda.		interrelationships	Italian and	
		of Hotel services:	Slovenian	
		Comparison of	groups of	
		Tourists From	tourists	
		Slovenia and Italy.	SEM	
		J.	Hotel image	
			and quality	
			Guest	
			satisfaction	
Murphy, P. E.	1983	Perceptions of	Discriminant	Attitude
winipity, 1 . L.	1703	Attitudes of	analysis	opinion
			anarysis	opinion
		Decision Making		
		Groups in Tourism		
		Centres		
Ross G.F.,	1992	Residents	Cross	Positive,
		Perception of the	tabulations	negative and
		Impact of Tourism		neutral
		on An Australian		impacts
		City		
Sthapit, Erose	2013	Tourists	Descriptive	Experiences
Thesis		Perceptions of	statics and	opinion
		Memorable	Exploratory	/attitude
		Experiences.	and	
		Testing the	Confirmatory	
		Memorable	factor	
			analysis,	
		Tourism	Multiple	
		Experience Scale	-	
		(MTE's) Among	regression	
		Tourists at		
		Rovaniemi Lapland		
Soutar, G.,	1993	Residents,	Discriminant	Opinion of
N.,and		perception on	analysis	Impact attitude
McLeod, P., B.		impact of the		
MicLeou, F., D.		America's Cup		
Milfolner	2001	-	One and	Imaga and
Milfelner	ZUU1	Measurement of	One and	Image and
Barut, Boris,		Perceived Value,	multi-factor	understanding
Snoj,		Image and	analysis.	opinion
Aleksandra		Satisfaction	Invariance	/attitude
Pisnik Korda		interrelations of	analysis	rather than
		Hotel Services:	J	perception
		Comparison of		
		Tourists from		
		Slovenia and Italy		
O'Neil Martin	2003	The Effects of	Paired	Expectation
		Survey Timing	samples t-test	confirmation
&Adrian		upon Visitor	samples t-test	of Perth as a
Palmer		_		
		Perceptions of		destination
				Opinion

		Destination Service Quality		
Chen Ching-Fu & Meng Huan Tsai	2008	Perceived value, satisfaction, and loyalty of TV Travel product shopping; involvement as a moderator	Confirmatory factor analysis	Expected performance and perceived actual performance Opinion
Mostafa Mohammadi, Zainab Khalifa, Hassan Hosseini	2010	Local People Perceptions towards Social, Economic and Environmental Impacts of Tourism in Kermanshah (Iran)	Descriptive statistics	Attitude/i.e. opinion
Chen, Nan and Daniel C., Funk	2010	Exploring Destination Image, Experience and Revisit Intention: A Comparison of Sport and Non- Sport Tourist Intentions	Descriptive statistics	Opinion Continued/
Cervirgen, Aydin Furken Baltaci & Onur Oku,	2012	Residents' Perceptions towards Sustainable Tourism Development: The Case of Alanya	Factor analysis (Varimax rotation Minimum item loading 0.5 was acceptable)) Anova and t- test	Attitude
Variero Laurentine Cruz, Jose Cardima Riberiro, Paula Cristina Remoaldo and Vitor Marques	2010	Residents' perception of the Benefits of cultural tourism: the case of Guimaraes	Logit regression analysis	Opinion
Kukreja Sheveta & Batra, Adarsh	2005	Business and Leisure Tourists' Perceptions on Selected Attributes of 5 star Luxury	Mann- Whitney test	Opinion and/ Attitude

		TT / 1 ' P 1 '		
		Hotels in Bangkok:		
		A Comparative		
		Study		
Leung,	2008	The Use of a 10	Two Way	Exercise
Raymond W		Point Effort	ANOVA	Medical tests
& Tom K		Perception Scale in		results
Tong		Adults: A		Attitude
Tong				Tittitude
- D 1 : 0	2007	Preliminary Study	DD1 (C	D .: .
Dolnicar Sara	2007	Different tourists –	PBMS	Perception is
& T Huybers		different	methodology	implied from
		perceptions of		measuring
		different places:		Destination
		accounting for		image
		tourists'perceptual		Opinion
		heterogeneity in		AY
		destination image		
Donah al-	2013	measurement	Themstir	Review of the
Dorcheh,	2013	Local Perception of	Thematic	
Sharareh		Tourism	analysis	literature
Abbas and		Development: A		covered
Badaruddin		Conceptual		attitudes and
Mohamed		Framework for		behavior and
		Sustainable		said the this
		Cultural Tourism		was perception
Viviers, Pierre-	2012	Towards and	Confirmatory	Impacts
Andre Viviers,		Instrument	factor	_
and Elmarie		Measuring	analysis	
Slabbert		Community		
Sidocit		Perceptions of the		
		impacts of		
	7	Festivals.		
A b d a 11 a b 1-1	2012		CEA form	Oniniana
Abdollahhhzee	2012	Rural Residents [,]	CFA four	Opinions
h,		Perceptions	factors were	preferences
Gholamhossei		Towards Tourism	obtained	and Attitudes
n, and		Development; A	which	in lieu of
Abolqasem		Study from Iran	accounted for	perception
Sharifzadeh			77.5% of total	
			variance	
,			economic,	
			social,	
			environmenta	
			1 and	
			physical.	
Total number of	45			
-	Attitude			
Impact				12
Opinion				28
Оринон	20			

Preference	01
Total number of items measured	63

Note: Some studies measured more than one item in lieu of perception

Source: This table has been developed by this author after reading each study listed within it.

Table 3 Studies from table 2 combining factors to simulate perception

Author	Year	Components representing perception	Combining Technique
Belisle and Hoy	1980	Eight orthogonal factors economic factors comprising food prices, public utilities, recreational facilities, transportation, employment and economic evolution. Three other factors are of a social nature namely: cultural exchange, prostitution and drug trafficking	Factor analysis
Tatoglu Ekrem Fuat Erdal, Huseyin Ozgur & Sedat Azakli	2000	Five factors were developed from the findings namely: Social and Cultural opportunities to learn from other people and cultures, Economic development, Quality of environment, State and local services, Cost of living	Factor analysis
Lawton Laura J	2005	Four clusters were developed from the findings namely: nature based, unenthusiastic, hinterland hesitant, enthusiastic.	Cluster analysis Kelley's (1955) PCT
Weaver, D., B., and L, J., Lawton	2001	Cluster analysis resulted in three clusters namely; Supporters, opponents, neutrals.	Cluster analysis and Factor analysis
Pearce P., L.	1982	13 areas of interest for tourists were used to compare/contrast for 14 countries were listed and respondents were asked to divide their responses into three piles. A cluster analysis was performed.	Kelley's (1955) PCT
Kunasekaran, Puvaneswaran, Sridar, Ramachandran Mohd, Rusli, Yacob and Ahmad Shuib	2011	36 items were combined to produce nine factors as follows: environmental impact, accessibility, Economic benefit, Entrepreneurial knowledge, Sociocultural benefits, Crowding, Awareness, Constraints, Land issues	Factor analysis

Liu, J., C, Sheldon, P., & Var, T.,	1987	Eight factors were selected as follows: Negative Socio-Environmental Effects, Stereo-typing Tourist, Cultural Exchange, Stereotyping Tourist Spending, Importance to Economy, Crime, Environment Government Planning.	Principal components Factor analysis without iteration
Sthapit, Erose	2013	Tourist's Perceptions of memorable experiences: Testing the memorable tourism Experience Scale (MTE'S) Among Tourist in Rovaniemi Lapland (Thesis)	Descriptive statistics, Principal components factor analysis & multiple, regression
Chen Ching-Fu & Meng Huan Tsai	2008	The factors were proposed and tested namely: Perceived value, satisfaction, loyalty	Confirmatory Factor analysis
Cervirgen, Aydin Furken Baltaci & Onur Oku,	2012	Four factors comprising: Perceived economic benefits, Environmental sustainability, Biological diversity, Maximizing community participation, Perceived social costs.	Factor analysis component only
Viviers, Pierre- Andre Viviers, and Elmarie Slabbert	2012	Seven valid factors were identified namely. Community facility and activities, Positive economic impacts, Negative environmental impacts, Community pride and opportunities, Negative Social impacts, Positive social impacts, Negative behavior.	Principal Components Factor analysis with Varimax rotation
Abdollahhhzeeh, Gholamhossein, and Abolqasem Sharifzadeh	2012	Four factors were determined namely: economic, social, environmental, physical. (Opinions were measured)	factor analysis

Source: extracted from Table #2 and additional details taken from each listed study.

COMPOSITE APPROACHES TO PERCEPTION:

Some tourism and hospitality researchers have sought to construct *perception* from a composite of other measures. One notable example of a composite approach was developed by Myers and Briggs (1962) in their construction of the MBTI type indicators of people suitable for

employment in specific positions in the work place. Experience has shown that this approach works in that application and so it has been widely accepted by employment practitioners.

Table 3 shows a significant minority of 12 researchers who also sought to construct *perception* from a composite of measures.

The study by Pearce (1982) used a composite approach. Pearce, a psychologist by training, constructed perception from a composite of measures (not based on all of the five senses identified earlier).

Pearce's mechanisms were based on Kelley's (1953 & 1963) Personal Construct Theory (PCT) and Repertory Grid Technique, (RGT), Table 3 shows Pearce's findings.

Other composite studies in Table 3 include Abdollahhzadeh, and Sharifzadeh 2014; Belisle and Hoy, 1980; Fu, Huan and Tsai, 2008; Kunasekaran et. al, 2011; Lawton 2005; Tatoglu et. al., 2000; Pavaneswaran, et.al, 2011; Weaver and Lawton, 2001; Kunasekaran Liu, Sheldon and Var, 1987, Sthapit, 2013; Chen, et al, 2013; Cervirge, et. al, 2012;, 2011; Viviers et.al, 2012; and Weaver and Lawton, 2000.

Table 3 studies employed factor analysis or cluster analysis to assemble a number of factors, which those researchers would have us, believe, to be perception. However, in all cases the researchers, called that composite something else, such as impact; attitude; opinion; understanding; or performance.

PERCEPTION: THE LITERATURE REVIEW

Table 2 lists a selection of 45 tourism and hospitality studies published in reputable and double-blind, peer-reviewed, academic tourism and hospitality journals and by reputable tourism academic publishers All contain the word *perception or*

perceived in the title and body of the article.

The 45 literatures summarized in Table 2 were also reviewed to see whether or not Swarbrooke and Horner (1999) or Reisinger and Turner (2003) were cited. The implication being that had they been cited or listed, then the tourism specific definition of *perception* was possibly being employed rather than either the vernacular or academic definition *of perception* was employed.

The right-most column in Table 2, indicates what was actually measured rather than *perception*, which comprised part of the title of the research article. Shaded studies shown in Table #2 were studies seeking to attain *perception* from composite measures. These twelve studies have been extracted and are shown in Table 3.

Conclusions:

This meta-analysis and review of the tourism and hospitality literature reveals the following:

- 1. That tourism and hospitality faculty, students and researchers have largely accepted the vernacular meaning of the word *perception* in the title of research papers, theses and dissertations.
- 2. Swarbrooke and Horner (1999) and the Reisinger and Turner, (2003) definitions of *perception* appears to have influenced tourism and hospitality researchers use of the word *perception*.

- 3. In the 45 studies reviewed here, visual *perception* is implied in all cases but not measured. Instead attitude, belief, impact, opinion or preference were employed and the measurement scales available for those terms were used.
- 4. Researchers who used perception in the title of their research articles, in fact, measured opinion, attitude, preference, or impact ought to have been used in the title of their research rather than the term *perception*. Table #2 shows that opinion (count of 28), by attitude (count of 22) impact (count of 12) and preference being least (count of 1) are most commonly used amongst the studies to refer to perception.
- 5. Whilst this multiplicity of terms inferring *perception* may not be confusing to the reader of any single *perception* paper, it is never-the-less misleading and becomes confusing to readers of more than one paper purporting to measure *perception*. Confusion arises because those papers may in fact be measuring one or more of several other things such as impact, preference or opinion.
- 6. Table #3 shows that 12 of the 45 studies assembled a form of perception from a composite of other measures and employed aggregating methods such as Confirmatory Factor Analysis

(CFA) or Kelley's (1953, 1963) Personal Construct Theory (PCT) and Repertory Grid Technique (RGT) or cluster analysis.

For the reader it is confusing when different researchers employ different components to obtain what they call *perception* without identifying which form of *perception* they are referring to, or without justifying their composite component of (visual) *perception*.

7. This researcher has, thus far been unable to find a scale of measurement for *perception* in it's' entirety or in the visual context in the tourist or hospitality literature.

Although Reisinger and Turner (2003) offered an explanation on page 157, where they explained the use of Likert scales for "agreement" related to perception; Thurstone scales are mentioned as "agreeableness" to a person or object; Semantic Differential scales applied to "agreement" loosely referred to as perception; Multi-Dimensional scales applied to "visual comparisons", implying perception.

Recommendations:

Tourism and hospitality researchers who measure opinion, attitude, preference or impact should use the appropriate word in the title of their research rather than *perception* or:

Tourism and Hospitality researchers should add the adjective "visual" before *perception*, in the title of their research and actually measure visual *perception* so as to accurately reflect the visual nature of *perception* under discussion, if that is indeed their intention.

The medical and physiological literature shows that there are measurement scales for each sensual response such as sight, sound, taste, touch, smell and balance for an individual. However, tourism and hospitality researchers appear not to make use of them.

Tourism and hospitality researchers who seek to produce one or more forms of *perception* from a composite of other measures by employing CFA, PCT, Multi-Dimensional Analysis (MDA) or cluster analysis, should inform their readers at the beginning i.e. in the title and in the abstract of their research just what it is they intend to measure. And how they will accomplish it.

The justification for these recommendations are:

A science (including the academic context) requires in the deductive sense a theory, a statement or hypotheses which require a uniform scale/s of measurement (quantitative or qualitative or both) and an evaluation of those measurable results along with a discussion of those results including an analysis with the original theory or hypothesis. The theory and measurement sequence is reversed when the research is inductive rather than deductive, as is sometimes the case of qualitative research. (Stevenson and Waite, (2012), Saunders, Lewis

and Thornhill, 2009, Veal, (1997), Zikmund, (2003).

Masters level thesis advisors and Doctoral level dissertation supervisors should exercise care, when students propose use of the word *perception* in the title of their tourism and hospitality theses or dissertations.

Researchers, we should say what they mean and mean what they say. Students, too, should heed the teachings they received in their research methods classes, to call an entity by its accepted academic name and measure it accordingly. Otherwise we are placing ourselves in the rather difficult position of Lewis Carol's, (1871) character, Humpty Dumpty.

"When I use a word,' Humpty Dumpty said in rather a scornful tone, "it means just what I choose it to mean — neither more nor less."

"The question is," said Alice, "whether you *can* make words mean so many different things."

"The question is," said Humpty
Dumpty, "which is to be master—that's all."

Lewis Carroll, (1872), *Through The Looking Glass and What Alice Saw There*, Chapter 6, Humpty Dumpty, p.124.

When an inappropriate use of the word *perception* is found, then thesis and dissertation students should be guided by their research advisors or supervisors to read the literature on *perception*. Then to help them decide whether or not they wish to research *perception* or another research area

such as belief, attitude, preference, motivation, behavior. All of the preceding terms, have widely accepted scales of measurement regarded as both valid and reliable.

References

Adler, Ronald. B, and Russell, F., Proctor II, 2011, *Looking out Looking in*, 13th Edition, Wadsworth, Cengage Learning, Canada.

Andereck, Kathleen, L., Gayan, P., Nyaupane, (2011), Exploring the Nature of Tourism and Quality of Life Perceptions Among Residents, *Journal of Travel Research*, Vol. 50,No. 3, pp.248-260.

Ap, J., (19990) Residents' perceptions: research on the social impacts of tourism, *Annals of Tourism Research*, Vol. 17, No. 4, pp.610-616.

Araria, Jorge, F. and Carmelo J., Leon, (2013) Correcting for Scale Perception Bias in Tourist Satisfaction Surveys, *Journal of Travel Research* Vol. 53: pp. 403-417,

Barnyard, Philip, and Andrew Grayson, (1996), *Introducing Psychological Research*, New York University Press, New York.

Batra, Adarsh, 2008, Foreign Tourists, Perception Towards Personal Safety And Potential Crime While Visiting Bangkok, *Anatolia, An International Journal Of Tourism and Hospitality Research*, Volume, 19, Number, 1, pp.89-100.

Belisle, Francois and Don B., Hoy, 1980, The Perceived Impact of Tourists by Residents: A Case Study in Santa Marta, Columbia, Annals of Tourism Research, Volume 7, (1) pp83-101

Brunt, Paul, and Paul, Courtney, (1999), Host Perceptions of Sociocultural Impacts, *Annals of Tourism Research*, Volume 26, No. 3. Pp. 493-515,

Carroll Lewis (Charles Ludwidge Dodson, (1872) *Through The Looking Glass and What Alice Saw There*, MacMillan and Company, London, Chapter 6, p.124.

Cervirgen, Aydin Furken Baltaci & Onur Oku, (2012), Residents¹
Perceptions towards Sustainable
Tourism Development: The Case of
Alanya
Residents² perception of the Benefits of
cultural tourism: the case of
Guimaraes, Conference paper at Third
International Symposium on
Sustainable Development, May 31st to
June 01, 2012, Sarajevo, pp.65-67

Dolnicar, Sarah and T., Huybers (2007), Different tourists-different perceptions of different places: accounting for tourists' perceptual heterogeneity in destination image measurement, *Tourism Analysis* Vol. 12, No5-6pp. 447-461.

Dorcheh, Sharareh Abbas and Badaruddin, Mohamed, (2013), Local Perception of Tourism Development: A Conceptual Framework for the Sustainable Cultural Tourism, *Journal of Management and Sustainability*, Volume 3, Number 2.

Hare, Jonathon 2014, Newton's Experiments Light and Prisms, The Creative Science Centre, Sussex,

http://www.creative-science.org.uk/prism.html, accessed 05 June 2015.

Heiman, Gary, W., (2001), Understanding Research Methods and Statistics: An Integrated Introduction for Psychology, (2e), Houghton Mifflin, Boston

Jankowicz, A.D. "Applications of personal construct psychology in business practice, "in Neimeyer, G. & Neimeyer, R. (eds.) Advances in Personal Construct Psychology Greenwich, Conn.: JAI Press, 1990.

Johnson, J., D., Snepenger, D., J., and Akis, S. (1994) Residents[,] Perception of Tourism Development, *Annals of Tourism Research*, Vol. 23, No. 3., pp. 629-642

Kelley, Harold H. (1967). "Attribution Theory in Social Psychology". In Levine, David. Nebraska Symposium on Motivation 15. University of Nebraska Press. pp. 192–238.

Kim, Young, Hoon, Mincheol Kim, Tanya Reutzler and Jim Taylor, (2010), An Examination of Festival attendees' behavior using SEM, *International Journal of Event and Festival Management*, Vol. 1, No. 1, King, B., Pizam., A and Milman., A. (1993), Social Impacts of Tourism: Host Perceptions, *Annals of Tourism Research*, Vol. 20, pp. 650-665.

Kukreja, Shveta & Adarsh Batra, (2005), Business and Leisure Tourists, Perception on Selected Attributes off Luxury Hotels in Bangkok: A

Comparative Study, *AU Journal of Management*, Vol. 3, No 2, pp.53-56

Kumasekaran, Pavaneswaran., Sridar Ramachandran., Mohdi Yusli Yakob & Ahmad Shuth,2011, Development of Farmers Perception Scale on Agro Tourism in Cameron Highlands, Malaysia, World Applied Sciences Journal, Issue 12 special issue, pp. 10-18.

Leung, Raymond W & Tom K Tong, 2008, The Use of a 10 Point Effort Perception Scale in Adults: A Preliminary Study. *Journal of Exercise and Scientific Fitness*,

Markin, Rom, K., (1974), Consumer Behaviour: A Cognitive Orientation, McMillan, and London.

Mc Burney, Donald, H., (2001),

Research Methods, (5e), [psychology]

Wadsworth Publishing, Belmont, USA.

Milfelner, Barut, Boris, Snoj Aleksandra Pisnik Korda, (2001) Measurement of Perceived Quality, Perceived Value, Image and Satisfaction Interrelationships of Hotel Services: Comparison of Tourists from Slovenia and Italy Drus Istraz, Zagreb, GOD, 20,(2011) BR 3, (113), Str. 601-624. Conference paper

Mitchell, D., E., (1978), *Perception and Experience*, (R., D., Walk and H., L., Pick eds.) pp.37-75, Plenum New York.

Mohammadi, Mostafa, Zainab, Khalifa, and Hasan Hosseini, (2010), Local People Perceptions towards Social, Economic and Environmental Impacts of Tourism in Kermanshah (Iran), *Asian Social Science*, Volume 6, No 11.

Myers, Isabel Briggs with Peter B. Myers, (1995) [1980]. *Gifts Differing: Understanding Personality Type*. Mountain View, CA: Davies-Black Publishing.

Nin, Anais, *Conversations with Anais Nin*, (2011), University Press of Mississippi,

Nunnaly, Jum, C., and Ira, H., Bernstein, (1994), *Psychometric Theory*, (3e), Mc Graw-Hill, New York.

O'Neil, Martin & Adrian, Palmer, (2011), The Effects of Survey Timing upon Visitor Perceptions of Destination Service Quality, *European Advances in Consumer Research*, Daruch Turley and Stephen Brown (editors), Volume, 6, pp. 37-41

Pavaneswaran, Kumasekaran, Sridar Ramachandran, Mohdi Yusli Yakob & Ahmad Shuth, (2011), Development of Farmers' Perception on Agro Tourism in Cameron Highlands Malaysia, World Applied Sciences, Journal, Issue 12 (special issue)

Reisinger, Yvette and Lindsay, W., Turner, (2003), Cross Cultural Behaviour in Tourism Concepts and Analysis, Butterworth Heinemann, Oxford,

Samovar, L., A., and Porter, R., E., (1991), *Communication Between Cultures*, Wadsworth Publishing Company, Belmont, CA.

Saunders, Mark, Philip Lewis, Adrian Thornhill, (2009) *Research Methods for Business Students*, (5e), Financial Times Prentice Hall, Harlow.

Schiffman, Leon., G., and Leslie, Lazar, Kanuk, (1987) *Consumer Behaviour*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs

Small, Katie, Deborah Edwards and Lynnaire Sheridan, 2005, A Flexible Framework For Evaluating The Socio-Cultural Impacts of a Small Festival, International Journal of Event Management Research, Vol. 1, No. 1.

Soanes, Catherine and Sara Hawker, (2008), Compact Oxford English Dictionary, 3e, Revised, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Soutar, G., N. and McLeod, P., B., (1993), Residents' perception on impact of the America's Cup, *Annals of Tourism Research*, Vol. 20, pp.571-582.

Sthapit, Erose, 2013, Tourists

Perceptions of Memorable

Experiences: Testing the Memorable

Tourism Experience Scale (MTEs)

Among Tourists to Rovaniemi, Lapland
(post grad thesis), and spring.

Stevenson, Angus and Maurice, Waite. (eds.), (2012), *The Concise Oxford English Dictionary* (12e), Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Swarbrooke, John and Susan, Horner, (1999), *Consumer Behaviour in Tourism*, Butterworth Heinemann, Oxford.

Tatoglu, Ekrem, Erdal, Fuat, Huseyin Ozgur and Sedat Azakli, (2002),

Residents Perceptions of the Impact of Tourism in a Turkish Resort Town, The International Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Administration, Vol. 3 No. 3, pp. 79-100

Turker, Nuray and Sevgi Ozturk, (2013), Perceptions of Residents
Towards The Impacts of Tourism in the Küre *Mountains* National Park,
Turkey, *International Journal of Business and Social Science* Vol. 4 No. 2; February.

Variero, Laurentine Cruz, Jose Cardima Riberiro, Paula Cristina Remoaldo and Vitor Marques, 2010, Residents' Perception of the Benefits of Cultural Tourism: The case of Guimaraes, chapter pp. 187-202

Viviers, Pierre-Andre and Elmarie Slabbert, (2012), Towards an Instrument Measuring Community Perceptions of the Impacts of Festivals. *Journal of Human Ecology*, Vol. 40, No 3, pp. 197-212. Weiner, B. (1992). *Human Motivation: Metaphors, Theories and Research.* Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.

Woloshin, Steven, Lisa, M., Schwartz, Stephanie Byram, Baruch Fischoff and H Gilbert Welch, 2000, *Medical Decision Making*, Vol. 20, No, 3, July-September.